

MULTIPLE ACCOUNTS ANALYSIS LEDGER FOR THE ZORTMAN MINE SITE RECLAMATION.

ACCOUNTS	SUB ACCOUNTS (issues)	INDICATORS	MEASURES	HIGH VALUE INDICATORS	ALTERNATIVE Z1 (Final EIS ALT.3, ROD)	ALTERNATIVE Z2 (Optimize Water Treatment within Bond)	ALTERNATIVE Z3 (Optimize Source Control within Bond)	ALTERNATIVE Z4 (Additional Pit Backfilling)	ALTERNATIVE Z5 (Total Backfill to Pre-Mine Topography)	ALTERNATIVE Z6 (Optimize Source Control and Aesthetics)
TECHNICAL	79/81, 83, 84, 89 LEACH PAD									
	Dikes	Stability/Erodability/Maintainability	value (good-poor)	*	intermediate	intermediate	intermediate	intermediate	intermediate	intermediate
	Heaps	Stability/Erodability/Maintainability	value (good-poor)		somewhat good	somewhat good	somewhat good	somewhat good	somewhat good	somewhat good
	Liners	Durability/Maintainability	value (good-poor)		intermediate	intermediate	intermediate	intermediate	intermediate	intermediate
	82 LEACH PAD									
	Heap, Dike & Liner	Stability/Erodability/Maintainability	value (good-poor)		good	good	good	good	good	good
	85/86 LEACH PAD									
	Dike	Stability/Erodability/Maintainability	value (good-poor)		somewhat good	somewhat poor	somewhat good	somewhat good	good	somewhat good
	Heap	Stability/Erodability/Maintainability	value (good-poor)		intermediate	intermediate	intermediate	somewhat good	good	somewhat good
	Liner	Durability/Maintainability	value (good-poor)		intermediate	intermediate	intermediate	intermediate	good	intermediate
	WASTE ROCK DUMPS (Alder Gulch)	Stability/Erodability/Maintainability	value (good-poor)		somewhat good	somewhat poor	somewhat poor	somewhat good	somewhat good	intermediate
	WASTE ROCK DUMPS (OK)	Stability/Erodability/Maintainability	value (good-poor)		good	intermediate	intermediate	somewhat good	somewhat good	somewhat good
	WASTE ROCK DUMPS (South Ruby)	Stability/Erodability/Maintainability	value (good-poor)		good	good	good	good	good	good
	OPEN PITS (N. Alabama)	Stability	value (good-poor)		intermediate	intermediate	intermediate	good	good	somewhat good
	OPEN PITS (S. Alabama)	Stability	value (good-poor)		somewhat good	somewhat good	somewhat good	good	good	somewhat good
	OPEN PITS (OK/Ruby & Mint Pits)	Stability	value (good-poor)		intermediate	intermediate	intermediate	intermediate	good	intermediate
	OPEN PITS (Ross Pit)	Stability	value (good-poor)		intermediate	intermediate	intermediate	good	good	somewhat good
	UNDERGROUND WORKINGS	Stability	value (good-poor)		somewhat good	somewhat good	somewhat good	somewhat good	somewhat good	somewhat good
	TAILINGS (in Ruby Gulch)	Erodability	value (low-high)		low	high	high	low	low	intermediate
	STORM WATER CONTROL (ditches)	Maintenance Requirements	value (low-high)	*	somewhat low	somewhat low	somewhat low	somewhat low	somewhat low	somewhat low
COLLECTION & SEEPAGE CAPTURE/PUMPBACK SYSTEMS	Operating Requirements	value (low-high)		somewhat high	intermediate	high	somewhat low	somewhat low	high	
WTP/LAD TREATMENT & RELEASE	Operating Requirements	value (low-high)	*	somewhat low	intermediate	intermediate	somewhat low	somewhat low	intermediate	
ALTERNATIVE TECHNOLOGIES	Potential Application	value (difficult-easy)		intermediate	intermediate	intermediate	intermediate	intermediate	intermediate	
RECLAMATION COVERS	Long-term Durability	value (good-poor)		somewhat poor	somewhat good	somewhat good	somewhat poor	somewhat good	intermediate	

MULTIPLE ACCOUNTS ANALYSIS LEDGER FOR THE ZORTMAN MINE SITE RECLAMATION.

ACCOUNTS	SUB ACCOUNTS (issues)	INDICATORS	MEASURES	HIGH VALUE INDICATORS	ALTERNATIVE Z1 (Final EIS ALT.3, ROD)	ALTERNATIVE Z2 (Optimize Water Treatment within Bond)	ALTERNATIVE Z3 (Optimize Source Control within Bond)	ALTERNATIVE Z4 (Additional Pit Backfilling)	ALTERNATIVE Z5 (Total Backfill to Pre-Mine Topography)	ALTERNATIVE Z6 (Optimize Source Control and Aesthetics)
PROJECT ECONOMICS	RECLAMATION COST Short Term Reclamation & LAD Long Term Monitoring/Maintenance % Attainable LONG TERM WATER COLLECTION/TREATMENT COST	Maintenance Requirements	value (low-high)		intermediate	somewhat low	intermediate	somewhat low	somewhat low	intermediate
		Sludge Disposal	value (difficult-easy)		somewhat easy	somewhat difficult	somewhat easy	somewhat difficult	somewhat difficult	somewhat easy
		Cost	\$ (million)	*	25.1	9.8	9.8	38.2	46.4	14.2
		NPV of Annual Costs	NPV \$ (million)		0.52	0.23	0.23	0.77	0.77	0.77
		% Attainable Within Bond	%	*	39%	100%	100%	26%	21%	67%
		NPV of Total Costs	NPV \$ (million)	*	11.8	10.8	12.3	10.6	10.6	11.8
ENVIRONMENT	SURFACE WATER QUALITY PROTECTION VALUE	Alder Spur	value (high-low)		somewhat high	somewhat high	somewhat high	somewhat high	somewhat high	somewhat high
		Carter Spur	value (high-low)		high	somewhat high	intermediate	high	high	somewhat high
		Ruby Gulch	value (high-low)		somewhat high	intermediate	somewhat low	somewhat high	somewhat high	intermediate
	SURFACE WATER QUANTITY PROTECTION VALUE	Lodgepole Creek	value (high-low)	*	somewhat high	somewhat high	somewhat high	intermediate	intermediate	high
		Alder Spur	value (high-low)		low	low	low	low	low	low
		Carter Spur	value (high-low)		high	somewhat high	somewhat high	high	high	somewhat high
	GROUNDWATER PROTECTION VALUE	Ruby Gulch	value (high-low)		somewhat high	somewhat low	somewhat high	intermediate	intermediate	somewhat high
		Lodgepole Creek	value (high-low)	*	somewhat high	somewhat high	somewhat high	high	high	somewhat high
		Alder Spur	value (high-low)		intermediate	intermediate	intermediate	intermediate	intermediate	intermediate
	WTP WATER QUANTITY & QUALITY (INFLOW)	Carter Spur	value (high-low)		high	intermediate	intermediate	high	high	somewhat high
		Ruby Gulch	value (high-low)		somewhat high	intermediate	intermediate	somewhat high	somewhat high	somewhat high
		Lodgepole Creek	value (high-low)	*	somewhat high	somewhat high	somewhat high	intermediate	intermediate	high
	LAD WATER QUALITY	Acidity Load	value (high-low)		somewhat low	somewhat high	somewhat high	intermediate	intermediate	intermediate
		NO3/NO2 Load	value (high-low)		high	high	high	high	high	high
	RE-ESTABLISHMENT OF BIOLOGICAL/VEGETATIVE POTENTIAL	Volume	value (high-low)		somewhat low	somewhat high	intermediate	somewhat low	somewhat low	intermediate
		Density of Revegetated Areas	value (poor-good)		somewhat good	intermediate	somewhat good	good	good	good
		Ecosystem Diversity/Sustainability	value (poor-good)	*	somewhat good	intermediate	somewhat good	good	good	good
		% of Area Revegetated	%		84.3	78.7	79	85	88.2	79.3
Compatability for Wildlife Habitat		value (low-high)		intermediate	intermediate	intermediate	high	high	somewhat high	

MULTIPLE ACCOUNTS ANALYSIS LEDGER FOR THE ZORTMAN MINE SITE RECLAMATION.

ACCOUNTS	SUB ACCOUNTS (issues)	INDICATORS	MEASURES	HIGH VALUE INDICATORS	ALTERNATIVE Z1 (Final EIS ALT.3, ROD)	ALTERNATIVE Z2 (Optimize Water Treatment within Bond)	ALTERNATIVE Z3 (Optimize Source Control within Bond)	ALTERNATIVE Z4 (Additional Pit Backfilling)	ALTERNATIVE Z5 (Total Backfill to Pre-Mine Topography)	ALTERNATIVE Z6 (Optimize Source Control and Aesthetics)
SOCIO-ECONOMICS	AESTHETICS	Appearance (Pleasing)	value (low-high)	*	intermediate	somewhat low	intermediate	somewhat high	high	somewhat high
	HUNTING & RECREATION TOURISM	Suitability	value (low-high)		intermediate	intermediate	intermediate	somewhat high	somewhat high	somewhat high
		Suitability	value (low-high)		intermediate	somewhat high	intermediate	somewhat high	somewhat high	intermediate
	HEALTH AND SAFETY	Protection During Reclamation (Workers)	value (low-high)	*	somewhat low	somewhat high	somewhat high	somewhat low	somewhat low	intermediate
		Protection Post Reclamation (Public)	value (low-high)	*	intermediate	intermediate	intermediate	somewhat high	high	somewhat high
	TRADITIONAL/CULTURAL COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE	Usability	value (high-low)	*	somewhat low	somewhat low	somewhat low	intermediate	somewhat high	intermediate
		Condition of Utilities (Water System)	value (low-high)		intermediate	low	low	intermediate	intermediate	somewhat low
	COMPLETION PERIOD	Time	years		4	2	2	5	7	3
	MINERAL DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL	Mineral Development Potential	value (low-high)		somewhat low	intermediate	intermediate	low	low	somewhat low
	FUTURE EFFORT BURDEN ON SOCIETY	Long Term Management Requirements	value (high-low)	*	somewhat high	intermediate	somewhat high	intermediate	intermediate	somewhat high
	EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES (Reclamation & WTP)	Short Term Local Employment Value	value (low-high)		somewhat high	intermediate	intermediate	high	high	somewhat high
		Long Term Local Employment Value	value (low-high)		intermediate	somewhat low	intermediate	somewhat low	somewhat low	intermediate